

**University Research and Creative Activity (URCA)
Evaluation Criteria**

1. To what degree is the theoretical/conceptual framework or the social/aesthetic significance of the project clearly explained and supported with reference to relevant literature? (0-10 points)

2. To what degree are the purpose, objectives, and methods/procedures of the project clear and supported with reference to relevant literature? (0-10 points)

3. To what degree does the project have the potential to contribute to the academic discipline (e.g., publication, conference presentation, performance or exhibition, external grant proposal), the university, and/or students? (0-10 points)

4. Are the timeline and plan for the project clear and feasible? Are logistical considerations appropriately addressed? (0-10 points)

5. Does the proposer have adequate preparation, or have they taken into account additional preparation needed, to carry out the project? (0-10 points)

Strengths of the proposal:

Areas of improvement for the proposal:

Additional comments:

Overall score: _____