Summer Research Experiences for Undergraduates (SREU) and Student-Faculty Research Collaboration Review Sheet

RATING SCALE:

- > 0/1: Inappropriate or poorly conceived project, and/or limited benefit to student(s), do not fund. (Strongly Disagree)
- > 2/3: Project is not well planned or conceived, or is not well explained, consider funding with revisions.
- 4: Solid student project, some desired elements missing/unclear, fund after clarification.
- > 5/6: Good quality student project, fund if possible.
- > 7/8: Very high-quality student project, should be funded. (Strongly Agree)
 - 1. The project proposal describes specific aims, e.g., project goal, research question.
 - 2. The aims described are placed in a disciplinary context, e.g., via a background or introduction with appropriate bibliography, citations and/or identification of stakeholders.
 - 3. The project proposal describes a theoretical approach, process, and/or methodology appropriate to the project goal.
 - 4. If the proposed work is a continuation of a previous project, discuss results of previous work and outline relationship to proposed project. (Only if applicable)
 - 5. The proposal provides a suitably clear plan for effective mentoring, e.g., frequency and nature of mentoring meetings.
 - 6. The proposal clearly indicates that the student will have a scholarly or creative experience that is substantive, commensurate with the student's experience and developmental level.
 - 7. The project proposal describes the expected steps in the student process and a suggested timeline forming a coherent plan for project completion.
 - 8. There is a dissemination plan that is reasonable and appropriate to the project, mentor, and student.
 - 9. Mentor provides evidence of past success in mentoring student research/ creative activity, or evidence of potential for successful mentoring.
 - 10. The budget request reflects a clear and sensible allocation of funds for compensation, travel expenses, and supplies.
 - 11. Please describe what you see as the strengths and/or weaknesses of this project.